

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,464		07/18/2003	Wayne L. Johnson	071469-0304543	1154	
909	7590	08/29/2006		EXAMINER		
		THROP SHAW PIT	MACARTHUR, SYLVIA			
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1763		
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/621,464	JOHNSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sylvia R. MacArthur	1763		

	Sylvia R. MacArthur	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(270) 00 ()
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Alanah . Elad amandan	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	-	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bafasa as as Aba data of filings a Ni	stice of Americal will be	the entered
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	•		nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	(Can <u>r</u> CAMN KACK	
	Ç	ZAMN KACK	AR 1763

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants arguments are unpersuasive. Namely, argument 1: the intended of the appratus of the prior art of Toshima et al and the present invention being different. Note that Toshima is inherently capable of performing depositing a nitride film. The apparatus of Toshima comprises the structural limitations to perform the process. Argument 2 is directed towards whether Toshima anticipates direct cooling of a portion of the inner wall 12. Note Fig.3 teaches a ciculation cooler 25 and a cooling member 21. Note that element 21 moves veritcally in the chamber from abutting the wall of the chamber to a desired distance to the substrate. When cooling member 21 is close to the wall it directly cools the wall also piping 24 carrying the cooling fluid is coupled to the wall and cools that portion of the all. Thus, the rejections with respect to Toshima et al stands..

2